

# An Introduction to the ECHP for New Users - Day 4

Dorothy Watson, Bertrand Maître, Bernadette Ryan



### Day 4 Outline

- Sample Weights and Grossing Factors
- The Country File
- Chris Whelan on Using Latent Class Models
- Item Non-Response and imputation
- Unit Non-Response and imputation



### Purpose of Weights

- Purpose of weights is to correct for any distortion or lack of representativeness in sample
- Could be due to
  - · characteristics of sampling frame
  - · sampling error
  - · differential response rates
  - attrition



### Weights in the UDB

- Two types of Weights
  - · Crosssectional
  - · Longitudinal (Base weight)



# Weights available in UDB files

#### Name of the weight variable

 File type
 Base weight weight
 Cross-sectional weight

 Register
 RG003
 RG002

 Personal
 PG003
 PG002

 Household
 HG004



### Base Weights

- RG003 and PG003
- Sample persons assigned a base weight.
- The base weight of non-sample persons is 0.
- Base weights are scaled such that the average of the base weights of sample and non-sample persons is 1



# Cross-Sectional Weights

- RG002, PG002 and HG004
- Cross-sectional weights for persons (RG002 and PG002) are derived from the base weights by sharing the weights of all persons in the same household.
- Scaled such that average weight is 1.
- The cross-sectional household weight (HG004) corresponds to the average weight of its members.
- Scaled such that average HG004 = 1.



#### When to Use

- Base weights use for longitudinal analysis (must exclude non-sample persons)
- Cross-sectional weights use for crosssectional analyses (can include nonsample persons)



### Cross-sectional analysis

- For cross-sectional analysis at country level, the normalised cross-sectional weights can be used.
  - · PG002 for interviewed persons
  - RG002 for persons in interviewed households
  - · HG004 for interviewed households



### Country Level vs Multi-Country Analysis

- The weight variables for each country sum to the sample size of the country (mean=1)
- This is ok if we just want to conduct analyses country by country
- But, e.g., to get EU-level figures, we would generally want the weights for each country to sum to population of country



### Grossing Weights to Population totals (1)

Country	Sample n Households	Popuation N Households
	(1995)	(1995)
Ireland	3,584	1,150,451
Germanv	6.336	36.228.000
Luxembourg	2,978	155,935
UK	5.032	24.244.000
Total	17 930	61 778 386

Ireland: 20% of sample: 2% of BOBHATO Population size? Luxembourg: 17% of sample; 0.3% of population



### Cross-Sectional Multi-country analysis

- For multi-country analysis the weights for each country need to be 'inflated' to the population total
  - so that sum of weights = population total (rather than = sample size)
- This also applies if you want to get population aggregates, e.g. total number of persons in the UK who are working part-time



### Grossing Weights to Population totals (2)

- This inflation factor = (N/n)
  - divide the country population (N) by the actual sample size (n).
- Population figures are in the 'country file'
- The inflation factors to be applied are
- For PG002: "POP16P/ n for this country in the P-file"
- For RG002: "POPTOT/n for this country in the R-file"
- For HG002: "POPHHD/n for this country in the H-file"



### Longitudinal Analysis at Country Level

- Longitudinal analysis over several waves confined to persons interviewed in all waves or to persons living in an interviewed household in all waves.
- For longitudinal analysis up to a wave i, the normalised base weights of wave i can be used. These are:
- PG003 for interviewed sample persons
- RG003 for sample persons in interviewed households



### Multi-Country Longitudinal Analysis

- Apply an 'inflation factor' = (N/n) to the normalised weights.
  - divide the country population (N) by the actual sample size (n).
- Population figures are in the 'country file'
- The inflation factors to be applied are
- For PG003: "POP16P/ n for this country in the P-file"
- For RG003: "POPTOT/n for this country in the R-file"



### Comparing Income across Countries

- Purchasing Power Parity (ppp) converts amounts in national currencies to a purchasing power standard (PPP)
  - Currency converter
  - Plus price converted
- 1 PPS buys same 'basket' of goods and services in each country



# Using PPP

- For example, To compare total net income for 1998.
  - HI100x = HI100 / PPP98
- Note: Does not control for changes in prices across waves (need national CPI for this)



# Non-Response and Imputation

- Types of Non-Response
  - 1. Initial Non-Response of Household
  - 2. Attrition (Non-Response in Later waves)
  - 3. Unit non-response to personal Interview
  - 4. Item Non-Response
- Eurostat strategies for each type
  - Weighting (1, 2 and 3)
  - HI140 Income of non-interviewed adult(3)
  - Imputation ( HI140 for 3; item imputation 4)



### Eurostat Methodology

- See Pan Doc 165 for weighting
  - Two steps:
    - Adjust for sample design characteristics
    - Calibrate to population totals
- See Pan Doc 164 for imputation of income variables
  - Generally, imputation was conducted at the most detailed level (PDB variables)



### Non-response to personal interview

- Within Household non-response
- Extent
- Patterns?



# Unit Non-Response to Personal Interview

Within-Household Non-Response, ECHP 2001

	Personal interview	
	Interviewed	Not interviewed
Denmark	96%	4%
The Netherlands	92%	8%
Belgium	93%	7%
France	97%	3%
Ireland	95%	5%
Italy	99%	1%
Greece	100%	0%
Spain	98%	2%
Portugal	99%	1%
Austria	99%	1%
Finland	98%	2%
Germany, national	96%	4%
Luxembourg, national	99%	1%
UK, national	91%	9%
Total	97%	3%



# The Income Estimate for Non-Respondents HI140

Use of HI100, Wave 8

	HI1	HI140	
	Not used	used	
Denmark	95%	5%	
The Netherlands	91%	9%	
Belgium	93%	7%	
France	99%	1%	
Ireland	92%	8%	
Italy	99%	1%	
Greece	100%	0%	
Spain	98%	2%	
Portugal	98%	2%	
Austria	99%	1%	
Finland	97%	3%	
Sweden	75%	25%	
Germany, national	94%	6%	
Luxembourg, national	99%	1%	
UK, national	87%	13%	
Total	94%	6%	



# Proxy Interviews

- Extent
- Patterns
- Implications



# Mode of Interview

Mode of Interview, ECHP 2001

	Mode of Interview			
	Face-2-face	self-admi nistered	phone int	proxy interview
Denmark	97%	0%		3%
The Netherlands	100%			
Belgium	42%	57%		0%
France	100%			
Ireland	86%			14%
Italy	83%		3%	13%
Greece	99%	0%	0%	0%
Spain	83%	2%	2%	14%
Portugal	91%	0%	0%	9%
Austria	96%			4%
Finland	47%		43%	10%
Germany-National source	58%	42%	0%	0%
Luxembourg-National source	100%			
UK-National source	98%		2%	
Total	85%	6%	3%	5%



### Subjective Variables and Proxy Interviews

Work Satisfaction by Mode of Interview, ECHP 2001

	Response to Satisfaction With Work			
	Answered, in person	Answered, proxy	Missing	
Denmark	97%	2%	1%	
The Netherlands	100%		0%	
Belgium	95%	0%	5%	
France	99%		1%	
Ireland	84%	0%	15%	
Italy	86%	13%	0%	
Greece	98%		2%	
Spain	86%	12%	3%	
Portugal	91%	9%	0%	
Austria	96%	4%	0%	
Finland	90%		10%	
UK, national	56%		44%	
Total	89%	5%	6%	

This variable not available for Germany (national), Luxembourg (National), Sweden





### Lab Session Day 4

Weights, Grossing Factors and using the PPP

### Lab Session: Exploring Weights (1)

Exercise 1: Use the cross-sectional household weight to get weighted household income in wave 8



### Exercise 1 (2)

- Begin with Household File
  - What checks can we do on weights?
  - · Why might we have weights of zero?
  - Is there a difference between weighted and unweighted household income?
  - Why might this difference arise?



### Exercise 1 (2)

- get file=w8hsav.
- \* check the weight variable hg004.
- \* Table for mean and median income, unweighted.
- Weight by hg004.
- \* Table for mean and median income, weighted.



### Exercise 2: Using PPP

- Exercise 2: Use the PPS to compare income across countries in Wave 8
  - Begin with household file
  - Match on country file (sorted by cntrpubl)
  - Compute net income in PPS
  - · Tabulate weighted PPS by country



### Exercise 2a: Personal Weights

- Take the personal file for wave 8 and examine weighted mean and median personal income
- Begin with personal file



### Exercise 3(1): Multi-country analysis

- Get the weighted average income across households in the four countries (UK, Ireland, Germany, Luxembourg) in PPS units.
- Find the total number of people in these four countries with PPS income below 10,000 (population aggregate)



### Exercise 3(2)

- To get weighted total across countries (and population aggregates), need to adjust weights so that they sum to total population within country
- For PG002: "POP16P/ n for this country in the P-file"
- For RG002: "POPTOT/n for this country in the R-file"
- For HG004: "POPHHD/n for this country in the H-file"



### Exercise 3 (3): Results

Average Net Household Income in Purchasing Power Standard(Mean and Median) by Country and Average Across Countries

_	Household Net Income in PPS		
	Mean	Median	Count
8 Ireland	27,203	22,568	1,290,580
51 Germany-National source	27,955	24,096	37,711,001
55 Luxembourg-National source	45,017	38,579	171,982
57 UK-National source	27,873	23,315	25,564,001
TOTAL	27,953	23,873	64,737,564



### Exercise 3 (4): Results

Number of households with PPS Below 10,000 by Country

_	PPS under 10,000		
	.00 Under 10,000	1.00 10,000 or above	
8 Ireland	249,707	1,039,924	
51 Germany-National source	4,044,771	33,568,352	
55 Luxembourg-National source	953	171,029	
57 UK-National source	3,771,442	21,566,777	
TOTAL	8,066,874	56,346,082	



# Exercise 4: Using Base Weights

- Select individuals who completed a personal interview in all waves, 1995 to 2001.
- Examine Changes in Self-Rated Health using the appropriate weight



### Exercise 4 (2)

#### Change in Health Status 1995 to 1998 by Country

	51		
	8 Ireland	German-Nati onal source	57 Uk-National source
1.00 Always good	66.3%	26.3%	49.0%
2.00 Mostly good	13.9%	14.9%	18.8%
3.00 Mixed	6.3%	12.3%	11.8%
4.00 Mostly bad	6.8%	14.2%	8.7%
5.00 Always bad	6.8%	32.3%	11.7%

Weighted



# Exercise 5: Country aggregates

- Find the number of people in each country who always rate their health as good or very good
- Get the percentage of people across the four countries who always rate their health as very good



### Exercise 5 (2)

- Use the appropriate variable from the country file to get country aggregates (numbers of cases)
- Also used to get percentages across countries (multi-country analysis)
- for pg003, use pop15p for the appropriate (latest) wave
  - divide pop16p by sample size.



# Exercise 5 (3): Results

#### Change in Self-Rated Health, 1995-1998, by Country

		51		
		German-Nati	57 Uk-National	
	8 Ireland	onal source	source	TOTAL
1.00 Always	66.3%	26.3%	49.0%	36.3%
good	1,849,372	17,709,883	22,631,337	42,190,592
2.00 Mostly	13.9%	14.9%	18.8%	16.4%
good	387,972	10,063,808	8,680,443	19,132,224
3.00 Mixed	6.3%	12.3%	11.8%	11.9%
	174,926	8,282,464	5,449,998	13,907,388
4.00 Mostly	6.8%	14.2%	8.7%	11.9%
bad	189,903	9,592,191	4,016,684	13,798,778
5.00 Always	6.8%	32.3%	11.7%	23.5%
bad	189,218	21,758,747	5,405,858	27,353,824

